In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, Chandigarh

C.W.P. No. 5825 of 2006

Date of Decision: September 21, 2006

Ashok Kumar

…Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

…Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. KUMAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

PRESENT: Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana,
for the respondents.

JUDGMENT

M.M. KUMAR, J (Oral)

According to the learned State counsel an amount of Rs. 2,55,177/- on account of medical reimbursement has been paid to the petitioner on 12.9.2006 and the petitioner has also received the cheque under due signature. A photocopy of the receipt dated 12.9.2006 has been placed on record as Mark-A.

Mr. Naveen Daryal, learned counsel for the petitioner, however, states that in case there is any discrepancy, he may be permitted to make a representation for any further claim.

In view of the above, we dispose of the writ petition with the observation that the writ petition has been rendered infructuous and in case there is any discrepancy the same may be brought to the respondents, which shall be decided in accordance with law.

(M.M. KUMAR)
JUDGE

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

September 21, 2006
Pkapoor